

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/923,211	08/06/2001	Travis Wayne Cavender	2001-IP-004118	8979
20558 75	10/01/2003		EXAMINER	
KONNEKER SMITH 660 NORTH CENTRAL EXPRESSWAY			SAFAVI, MICHAEL	
SUITE 230	ENTRAL EAPRESSWAT		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PLANO, TX 75074			3673	11
			DATE MAILED: 10/01/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
A absissment A stiern	09/923,211 CAVENDER, TRAVIS WAY	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit
	M. Safavi	3673
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	rrespondence address
THE REPLY FILED 16 September 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application at the control of the control	ation. A proper reply to a
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection.  HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	·	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);	
(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancell	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.
NOTE: See attached sheet.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed: NONE.		
Claim(s) objected to: <u>NONE</u> .		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-16 and 24-35</u> .		•
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·
10. Other:		-

Art Unit: 3673

**NOTE: Contd.** Proposed new claims 36-63 would require additional search and consideration. Particularly with proposed claims 36-63 directed to an invention different from that examined in the first and final Office actions. Actually, proposed amendment after final would be non-responsive as the newly proposed claims are directed to method when an article of manufacture had initially been examined.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M. Safavi whose telephone number is (703) 308-2168.

MICHAEL SAFAVI PRIMARY EXAMINER ART LINIT 354